



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's representative was advised that the amendment to claim 28 did not meet the requirements for enablement as there is no structure/algorithm for the software means claimed. The Examiner suggested removing the software limitation to overcome the potential rejection. Next, Claim 33 was identified as a claim which did not further limit the scope of the claim and as such should be cancelled, as well as claims 56-59 as they are similar. Claim 35 is still considered non-statutory and fails to overcome the previous § 101 rejection as the claim requires a machine-readable data carrier (transitory). The Examiner suggested removing the data carrier limitation to overcome the potential rejection. The same applies to claims 61 and 63. The applicant's representative indicated that an authorization for an Examiner's Amendment could not be made and that an Office Action be mailed.